IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ELDON MOORE,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:11-cv-3125-JE

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge John Jelderks issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on May 14, 2013. Dkt. 14. Judge Jelderks recommended that the decision of the Commissioner be affirmed and this case dismissed with prejudice. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), the court may "accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party files objections to a magistrate's findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.*; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

Case 1:11-cv-03125-JE Document 16 Filed 06/12/13 Page 2 of 2

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v. Arn,

474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act], intended

to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report[.]"); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328

F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (the court must review de novo magistrate's findings

and recommendations if objection is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge[] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)

recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review the magistrate's findings

and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge Jelderk's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the

face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge Jelderk's

Findings and Recommendation, Dkt. 14. The decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED and

this case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 12th day of June, 2013.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 –ORDER